



CESAR

Central and South-East European Resources

Project no. 271022

Deliverable D4.4
Second upload of language resources

Version No. 1.2

31/07/2012

Document Information

Deliverable number:	D4.4
Deliverable title:	Second upload of language resources
Due date of deliverable:	31/07/2012
Actual submission date of deliverable:	31/07/2012
Main Author(s):	György Szaszák (BME-TMIT)
Participants:	
Internal reviewer:	Tibor Pintér (HASRIL)
Workpackage:	WP4
Workpackage title:	Cross-national collaboration and pilot service
Workpackage leader:	BME
Dissemination Level:	PP
Version:	1.2
Keywords:	upload, batch 2, licence, metadata

History of Versions

Version	Date	Status	Name of the Author (Partner)	Contributions	Description/ Approval Level
1.2	31/07/2012		Tibor Pintér (HASRIL)	proofreading	
1.1	25/07/2013		György Szaszák (BME)	editing	
1.0	03/07/2013		György Szaszák (BME)	draft	

Executive summary

This document summarizes the technical background of upload batch 2. Global metadata related considerations, IPR related tasks and work, server node structure and organization is presented. CESAR community set up one node for the 1st batch, and uses the same node as the official node for the 2nd batch.

Table of Contents

Abbreviations	4
1. Scope	5
2. Metadata description	6
2.1 Metadata schemes	6
2.1.1 Updating batch 1 schemes	6
2.1.2 Minimal schema	6
2.2 Metadata editor	7
3. META-SHARE server node	8
3.1. Official CESAR node for META-SHARE	8
3.2 Data and service security	8
3.3 Sustainability	9
3.4 Implementation details	9
4. IPR considerations	10
4.1 Current state	10
4.1.1 META-SHARE No Redistribution Set	10
4.1.2 META-SHARE Commons Set	10
4.1.3 Creative Commons Set	11
4.2. Depositor agreement	11
4.3 Promoting META-SHARE licences	11
5. Personal data protection	12
5.1 General guidelines	12
5.2 Personal and private data	12
5.3 Audio and video resources, images	12
5.4 Obfuscation techniques	13
6. Resources uploaded	14

Abbreviations

Abbreviation	Term/definition
LR	Language Resource
LRT	Language Resources and Tools (either language data or tools)
IPR	Intellectual Property Rights
DoW	Description of Work
Editor	META-SHARE server node and editor package V1.0
Partners	Partners of CESAR

Table 1. Abbreviations

1. Scope

After metadata description agreement and legal issues clearance, WP4 focuses on population and pilot operation of the digital exchange platform codenamed “META-SHARE”. The DoW specifies this work to be carried out in 3 cycles at months 10, 18 and 24, respectively. This document focuses on the second upload batch (2nd cycle) due at M18.

The tasks covered following the DoW are:

Resources resulting from WP3 are uploaded to the META-SHARE network, as well as eventually to further appropriate non-commercial platforms (e.g. FLARENET, CLARIN, LetsMT!, etc.). The physical location and 'hosting' (e.g. central server, owner's own equipment, national/regional data centre managed by a consortium member or a third party) of language resources and tools depends on operational and quality-of-service requirements, the need to provide managed storage services, to monitor accesses and usages, etc., as described in the associated service-level agreements. The consortium complied with the META-NET recommendations and will use the META-NET software solutions to implement digital repositories where metadata and/or data are stored or referenced. Understanding that metadata will be harvested by META-NET using the OAI-PMH protocol and used to populate and update the META-SHARE central inventories, the project partners comply with the requirements set out by the harvesters with respect to exporting / making harvestable a set of required metadata elements.

Those project partners who do not wish to establish and/or maintain an own repository, will deposit their language resources resulting from the project at a central repository provided by META-SHARE (after the resources have been documented using the procedure elaborated in Task 4.2). Resources will be 'uploaded' together with their respective descriptions in three stages at M10, M18 and M24. The consortium will participate in early operations of the digital exchange platform, contribute to assessing initial services and provide feedback regarding shortcomings and possible functional and operational improvements.

2. Metadata description

2.1 Metadata schemes

The CESAR consortium regards the D4.1. deliverable as the basis of metadata description and is dedicated to use metadata schemes agreed between all 3 PSP projects and META-NET.

For the 2nd upload batch, the metadata schemes were revised and restructured without major changes in metadata content. As for July 2012, all metadata description schemes are available for all types (corpus, lexicon, language description, technology/tools) and all media (text, audio, video, image) of resources and tools. The used version of the metadata schemes for 2nd batch is V2.1. The available V2.1. scheme set consists of a relatively large and complex set of XDS schemes supplied by META-NET and agreed between PSP partners.

2.1.1 Updating batch 1 schemes

Due to the restructuring of metadata schemes, batch 1 schemes had to be updated. The conversion between versions 1.1 and 2.1 was carried out automatically, exported from the META-SHARE node, followed by hand made checking and validation of all XML metadata description files. Revised files were reimported into the META-SHARE node and sent around between other nodes as synchronization facility is still missing between nodes.

2.1.2 Minimal schema

Partners agree in providing the metadata description covering at least the minimal schema by the 30th July 2012. However, the description should be as complete as possible and cover possibly non mandatory elements as well in order to allow for rich a documentation and provide more detailed information on the resources involved.

2.2 Metadata editor

The first release (version 1.0) of the META-SHARE metadata editor (Editor) was made available across all PSP partners by the end of October, 2011. The Editor is part of the META-SHARE server node package, and is intended to be used for metadata annotation while provides a validated XML description. The current version of the editor is V2.1. which works with schemes V2.1.

CESAR partners have reported several problems to META-SHARE metadata editor software developers during the first upload batch and related to version 1. Current V2.1 version of the software was experienced more stable and reliable, however, the metadata editing approach from the first batch was still kept:

Partners reserved the right to create/edit XML metadata descriptions without using the Editor and then export the schemes into the META-SHARE node. In this case the Partners put a particular emphasis on validating the descriptions against the schemes. An alternative software for this purpose can be any XML editor facility or tool (XMLSpy, Notepad++ etc) which provides a built-in validation service.

3. META-SHARE server node

3.1. Official CESAR node for META-SHARE

Partners agreed to set up one official META-SHARE node for the first batch in Warsaw, Poland, maintained by IPIPAN. The same node is used for batch 2. As the META-SHARE community runs several other nodes where CESAR resource are also referenced and as synchronization is still not implemented between the nodes, and also as duplication or multiplication of the same dataset would be senseless, no other official servers were set up.

All Partners agreed to upload metadata to the official CESAR META-SHARE server for harvesting. Whether the official server hosts also the resources physically or provide a link to Partner's own web servers (not necessarily META-SHARE nodes) depends on each Partner. As the META-SHARE software is relatively young, and security is considered as a key point in language resource hosting, Partners reserve the right to host their files (associated to their LRTs but not to the metadata description which is shared and free) themselves, thus, of course comply with the recommendation to ensure that LRTs can be reached at all times according to the license associated.

Metadata descriptions should be stored by all means on the server node, even if metadata is annotated by XML editor, not the Editor. In this case XML files holding the schema-valid metadata description should be imported.

3.2 Data and service security

A backup server is also run by the partner ULodz. This server was set up in order to ensure data safety and service continuity. However, this server is an unofficial node in normal circumstances. Other non-CESAR META-SHARE nodes also host CESAR metadata files and resources.

The server serves primarily as a metadata pool and occasionally as a real physical host of resources. Of course, all released CESAR resources are reachable from the server via links, but the physical hosting of resources can be solved in each partner's own, non META-SHARE servers.

Each partner is responsible for backing up its metadata and resources.

3.3 Sustainability

Partners and especially IPIPAN express their wish and commitment to maintain and run the official META-SHARE node for CESAR after the project ends, at least for a period of two years. This commitment involves all resources referenced in the META-SHARE nodes, but hosted physically elsewhere (according to the letters of intent the Partners submitted for META-FORUM 2012 in Brussels, 2012). However, this document (D4.4) cannot be regarded as a commitment in itself, commitment is guaranteed by the mentioned letters of intention.

3.4 Implementation details

The official META-SHARE node for CESAR is available at:

<http://nlp.ipipan.waw.pl/metashare>

All CESAR Partners have received user accounts and passwords to be able to edit their metadata. The server was set up end of October, 2011. Update for version 2.1 was carried out in June, 2012.

Communication with META-NET is continuously ongoing via email address helpdesk-technical@meta-share.eu and also via other channels allowing more direct contact to the software developers.

4. IPR considerations

Licensing is a crucial part of uploading LRTs. License schemes has been continuously developed and codified by META-NET. As for the second upload batch, all necessary basic licence templates and licensing solutions were provided by META-NET. XML schemes and the metadata editor V2.1 also uses these templates (e.g. closed enumerations were found up to date end of June as offered from the pop up menus).

4.1 Current state

Currently the offered licence families are as follows (links provided point to the corresponding META-SHARE document, this list is also available from META-NET at <http://www.meta-net.eu/meta-share/licenses>):

4.1.1 META-SHARE No Redistribution Set

This set covers all expected combinations of licensing attributes excluding the distribution of the original resource.

- [META-SHARE Commercial NoRedistribution For-a-Fee](#)
- [META-SHARE Commercial NoRedistribution](#)
- [META-SHARE Commercial NoRedistribution NoDerivatives For-a-fee](#)
- [META-SHARE Commercial NoRedistribution NoDerivatives](#)
- [META-SHARE NonCommercial NoRedistribution NoDerivatives For-a-fee](#)
- [META-SHARE NonCommercial NoRedistribution NoDerivatives](#)
- [META-SHARE NonCommercial NoRedistribution For-a-Fee](#)
- [META-SHARE NonCommercial NoRedistribution](#)

- [One page overview of the MS-NoRed licences and their attributes](#)

4.1.2 META-SHARE Commons Set

This set covers all expected combinations of licensing attributes, including the distribution of the original resource, but only towards META-SHARE members

- [META-SHARE COMMONS BYNCND](#)
- [META-SHARE COMMONS BYNCSA](#)
- [META-SHARE COMMONS BYNC](#)
- [META-SHARE COMMONS BYND](#)
- [META-SHARE COMMONS BYSA](#)
- [META-SHARE COMMONS BY](#)

- [One page overview of the MS-Commons licences and their attributes](#)

4.1.3 Creative Commons Set

A standard and well documented, widely used legal toolkit for sharing knowledge and data (links below point to the Creative Commons website).

- [CC-ZERO](#)
 - [CC-BY](#)
 - [CC-BY-SA](#)
 - [CC-BY-ND](#)
 - [CC-BY-NC-SA](#)
 - [CC-BY-NC](#)
 - [CC-BY-NC-ND](#)
- [One page overview of the Creative Commons licences and their attributes](#)

4.2. Depositor agreement

Each Partner should provide a written agreement of the right holder of any of its resources made available via META-SHARE which states that the resource can be licensed in the META-SHARE framework. The agreement should exactly specify the name and the short name of the resource, data of the right holder, the license(s) under which the resource is released. The agreement should be signed and stored by the partner the resource is coming from.

A template for this is provided by META-NET in form of a depositor agreement: [META-SHARE Depositor's Agreement](#)

Any special need or problem of any CESAR Partner was discussed via helpdesk-legal@meta-share.eu.

4.3 Promoting META-SHARE licences

The wide set of META-SHARE licences allows replacement of the majority of initial CLARIN licences with META-SHARE ones, which means a deeper integration and a higher level of standardization, as well as higher compatibility between the licences.

CESAR Partners analysed case-by-case for each LRT planned to be offered so far under a CLARIN license to adopt a META-SHARE license instead. Especially, CLIRIN PUB, CLARIN ACA and CLARIN ACA ReD licenses were expected to be at least partly converted into or co-licensed by a corresponding META-SHARE licence. This allows dual licensing as an extended option. These new license options were not included in the V1 metadata schemes populated for the 1st batch, but the changes took effect recursively for LRTs involved in the 1st batch (after the update to the schemes V2.1.).

5. Personal data protection

The protection of private and personal data is regarded as a key issue closely linked to IPR clearance of all LRTs involved in upload batches. The basic document related to this issue is recognized as the Directive [95/46/EC](#) of the European Commission. CESAR's guidelines are all based on this document.

5.1 General guidelines

A summarized overview of the directive [95/46/EC](#) is available at:

http://europa.eu/legislation_summaries/information_society/data_protection/l14012_en.htm).

Each Partner is responsible for checking whether the released resources comply with the above EC and national level regulation on the protection of private data.

Obfuscation techniques are required to hide personal data in case it is present in the raw material of a language resource. As each resource made available within META-SHARE has its own special characteristics, hereby only general guidelines can be defined which should be carefully adopted for each and every resource. Obfuscation should be carried out such that it ensures that the person cannot be identified by preserving the most data possible.

5.2 Personal and private data

All information or data relevant for the explicit identification of a person (name, credit card numbers, address), her/his ethnical belonging, political or religious orientation, personal beliefs, personal privacy and medical condition are regarded as personal data and must be treated and kept according to international and national regulations.

The same stands for all data declared private or secret by the legislation.

5.3 Audio and video resources, images

A special concern arises linked to audio and video corpora, that is, speakers may be identifiable after their voice, appearance etc. This identification is regarded to be implicit, i.e. supposes additionally or previously obtained information about the subject (recognize her/him or her/his voice, etc). However, filtering all these data would mean that no speech corpora could be created, for example.

Therefore all such resources should be preferably accompanied by the subject's written or oral and recorded consent to the recording. Further personal data which would allow for the explicit and unambiguous identification of the subject or has relations to the subject's ethnic or politic or religious orientation or his/her medical condition, have to be obfuscated like in other textual resources.

5.4 Obfuscation techniques

Personal data privacy usually arises linked to corpora and occasionally linked to lexica. Basically all data regarded as private or sensible has to be deleted from the resource, according to the following principles listed below:

- Preferably data integrity is to be preserved such that tags or other elements refer to the original content
- An alternate solution is to use false, equivalent data instead of the original one.
- If a part holding private data can be entirely removed from the resource without coverage loss or distortion or replaced by other non-sensible data, this is the straightforward procedure.

In case of audio and video corpora, some additional remarks are necessary:

- If the part containing the private data can be removed (deleted) without corrupting or distorting the remaining data, this is the straightforward procedure.
- False data cannot be inserted.
- Alternatively, in textual transcriptions the obfuscation can be referred to by tags or event markers, referring to the original content if necessary without providing cues, which would allow for its identification.
- Alternatively a beep-like sound or white noise or speech shaped noise can be used to mask the original content; the procedure should be irreversible (preferably delete the original sound and do not mix).
- In case if some speech attributes need to be preserved (prosody for example) a low pass filtering of the private segment can be considered with a cut-off frequency no higher than 300 Hz. However, this usually represent a speech intelligibility of about 20%, therefore the use of this technique is discouraged.

Video and image data can be partly blurred in case they contain private or sensible components. In CESAR contribution, video and image resources represent only a minor part of the resources uploaded, and hence, the licensor is kept responsible to evaluate and choose the corresponding obfuscation technique(s) he/she eventually applies on them.

6. Resources uploaded

LRTs to be uploaded in the 2nd batch are listed and presented in details in deliverables D3.2 (documentation of the delivery) and D3.2-B. (actions on resources). Hence, current D4.4. refer to D3.2. and D3.2.-B. regarding the list of the uploaded resources and the actions carried out on them to ensure their extension, standardization, enhancement, linking, etc.